## Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead

## **Overview and Scrutiny Panels**

## Scrutiny Review – Scoping and Planning Document

Title of the Review	Review delivery of RBWM's Climate and Sustainability Strategy
Panel Name	Communities Overview & Scrutiny Panel
Panel Members	Cllrs Bowden, G Jones, Bhangra, del Campo, Price
Support Officer	Shilpa Manek (Clerk), David Cook (Scrutiny Officer)
<ul> <li>Purpose of the Review</li> <li>Specify exactly which Outcome(s) the review is examining?</li> <li>Also being clear what the review is not looking at</li> <li>What is the Scrutiny Review seeking to achieve?</li> <li>Where possible refer to VFM issues of service cost, service performance and/or customer satisfaction.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Panel would like to review the delivery of RBWM's Climate and Sustainability strategy, which was approved by Cabinet on Thursday 17 December 2020.</li> <li>Outcome: To understand the Borough's performance against targets laid out in the strategy.</li> <li>The Environment and Climate Strategy focuses on four key themes: <ul> <li>Circular Economy: More sustainable resource use, increasing recycling rates and supporting less resource-intensive lifestyles.</li> <li>Energy: Reducing consumptions and decarbonising our supply of energy.</li> <li>Natural Environment: Supporting biodiversity, health and wellbeing.</li> <li>Transport: Reduce the need for carbon intensive travel by encouraging walking and cycling, as well as investing in digital infrastructure.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Successful delivery of the strategy is vital to protect our way of life and that of future generations. To that end, the strategy should be a golden thread running through everything we do at the Borough.</li> </ul>
Criteria for Selection	The Communities Overview and Scrutiny Panel would like this item brought to a Panel to understand performance in the following areas:

· M/hy has this particular tanis has	Carbon reductions the strategy requires a
Why has this particular topic been considered to be a priority issue for	<ul> <li><u>Carbon reduction:</u> the strategy requires a 50% reduction in carbon emissions by</li> </ul>
scrutiny?	2025. What were the baseline figures in
	2020, where are we now and are we on
Which of the criteria promoted by the	target to achieve that 2025 goal?
Centre for Governance and Scrutiny	Engagement and communications:
does it satisfy?	receive updates on engagement with
	community groups and residents, and
	progress of the Climate Steering Group
	and Stakeholder Advisory Board.
	• Theme 1: Circular economy: the strategy
	requires the Borough to improve its
	recycling rate to above 50% and food
	recycling to 10% by 2025. There are
	other targets on page 30 of the strategy.
	For each action, what is the 2020
	baseline, where are we now and are we
	on target to achieve that 2025 goal?
	<u>Theme 2: Energy:</u> the Borough currently
	produces 13,067 megawatt-hours (Mwh)
	of renewable energy per year. We have a
	target to increase that ten-fold by 2025.
	There are more actions on pages 31-32.
	For each action, where are we now and
	are we on target to achieve that 2025
	goal?
	<u>Theme 3: Natural environment.</u> There is
	a general goal to 'green' our urban
	environment look for opportunities to
	'rewild' areas and improve awareness. See pages 33-34 of the strategy. For
	each target, we should still understand
	the 2020 baseline situation, where we
	are now and what needs to be done to
	be able to declare 'success' in 2025.
	• Theme 4: Transport: the strategy aims to
	enable sustainable transport choices,
	and outlines some targets on pages 35-
	36 of the strategy. We should ask the
	Infrastructure Overview and Scrutiny
	Panel to track this topic, if they are not
	already, giving special attention to:
	<ul> <li>Public transport (adequacy of</li> </ul>
	provision and emissions)
	<ul> <li>Safe cycling routes</li> <li>Safe walking routes</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Safe walking routes</li> <li>Street lighting</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Street lighting</li> <li>And to liaise with us regularly.</li> </ul>
	We should review progress every six months
	and invite the lead member/lead officer to report
	to the panel annually. Where we are not on
	target to meet certain goals, we should make
	recommendations to Head of Service and /or
	Cabinet to take action.

	• Review the Borough's Air Quality Improvement Action Plan in light of the updated WHO guidelines, and make recommendations to the lead officer, lead member, Cabinet and/or Full Council as appropriate.
Terms of Reference	This should be a recurring item on the work programme of the Communities Overview and Scrutiny Panel.
	<u>Lead member and lead officer(s)</u> to provide the panel with 2020 baseline data against KPIs laid out in the Climate Strategy, a current 'snapshot' of progress and thereafter six-monthly updates.
	Panel Members to review performance against targets, ask questions of lead member and officer(s) and, where necessary, make recommendations to Head of Service and/or Cabinet.
	All other Members to submit relevant current evidence and ask questions.
	Parish Councils to submit relevant and current evidence of performance levels and any issues to the subsequent Parish Council representatives on the Panel to then raise at the meeting in advance of the meeting.
	Stakeholder groups to submit relevant and current evidence of performance levels and any issues in advance of the meeting.
	Residents to provide relevant examples of issues with the Borough's performance to the clerk and register to speak and ask questions.
What are the anticipated outcomes of the review? What factors / outcomes will demonstrate that this Scrutiny Review has been a success?	Where performance is on target, it will be noted and thanks given where appropriate. Good Practice identified and shared. Where performance is not on target, recommendations will be made to Head of Service and/or Cabinet to take action and lessons learned and shared.
Key Lines of Enquiry	Evidence and impacts identified by residents, parish councils and all other stakeholders, such as the 'Wilds' groups.
	Performance data from the lead member and officers.

Strategy.Who Global Air Quality Guidelines, 2021 (https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/345329)RBWM Air Quality Improvement Action Plan (part of https://www.rbwm.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2021- 10/eh air quality annual report 2021.pdf)Actively identify best practices from other Local Authorities and seek to implement these.Measure the existing ten pollutants for which there are currently National Air Quality Objectives.Actively identify further sites where Particulates <10 um and <2.5 um should be measured.Measure how RBWM complies with NICE Quality Standards QS181 and NG70, and DEFRA Air Quality Guidance.Sources of Information/Evidence * especialist staff • any external support • site visits • consultation • researchCorporate Risks associated with this Review? Identify any weaknesses and barriers to successThis is not in the corporate risk register. However, risks associated to contracts of this nature would have identified by council.Reputation/impacts on residents and visitors of the borough, with works not being carried out. Health and wellbeing impacts on residents, which may not be reversible.Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?Add to work programme of Communities 0.8 S		Reference to the Climate and Sustainability
(https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/345329)RBWM Air Quality Improvement Action Plan (part of https://www.rbwm.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2021- 10/eh.air_quality_annual_report_2021.pdf)Actively identify best practices from other Local Actively identify best practices from other Local Actively identify best practices from other Local Actively identify further sites where Particulates <10 um and <2.5 um should be measured.Measure the existing ten pollutants for which there are currently National Air Quality Objectives.Actively identify further sites where Particulates <10 um and <2.5 um should be measured.Measure how RBWM complies with NICE Quality Standards QS181 and NG70, and DEFRA Air Quality Guidance.Sources of Information/EvidenceResource & budget requirements; • specialist staff • any external support• site visits • consultation • researchCorporate Risks associated with this Review? Identify any weaknesses and barriers to successMatire would have identified by council.Reputation/impacts on residents and visitors of the borough, with works not being carried out.Health and wellbeing impacts on residents, which may not be reversible.If this is not delivered there is a risk of a shortfall in affordable housing and thriving communities, both outlined in the Corporate Plan.Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?What is the Review Timescale? •Add to work programme of Communities O & S		5
(part of https://www.rbwm.cov.uk/sites/default/files/2021- 10/eh.air.quality_annual_report_2021.pdf)Actively identify best practices from other Local Authorities and seek to implement these.Measure the existing ten pollutants for which there are currently National Air Quality Objectives.Actively identify further sites where Particulates <10 um and <2.5 um should be measured.Measure how RBWM complies with NICE Quality Standards QS181 and NG70, and DEFRA Air Quality Guidance.Sources of Information/EvidenceResearch whether Developer Impact Reports are sufficiently rigorous.Sources so funformation/EvidenceIf work kept within scope, no additional resource or budget requirements; • specialist staff • any external support • site visits • consultation • researchCorporate Risks associated with this Review? Identify any weaknesses and barriers to successThis is not in the corporate risk register. However, risks associated to contracts of this nature would have identified by council.Reputation/impacts on residents and visitors of the borough, with works not being carried out. Health and wellbeing impacts on residents, which may not be reversible.Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?Head of Service CabinetWhat is the Review Timescale? •Add to work programme of Communities O & S		
Actively identify best practices from other Local Authorities and seek to implement these.Measure the existing ten pollutants for which there are currently National Air Quality Objectives.Actively identify further sites where Particulates <10 um and <2.5 um should be measured.Measure how RBWM complies with NICE Quality Standards QS181 and NG70, and DEFRA Air Quality Guidance.Sources of Information/EvidenceResearch whether Developer Impact Reports are sufficiently rigorous.Sources budget requirements; • specialist staff • any external support • site visits • consultation • researchCorporate Risks associated with this Review? Identify any weaknesses and barriers to successMeasure to wold have identified by council.Reputation/impacts on residents, which may not be reversible.If this is not delivered there is a risk of a shortfall in affordable housing and thriving communities, both outlined in the Corporate Plan.Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?What is the Review Timescale? •Mat to the Review Timescale? •Add to work programme of Communities O & S		(part of https://www.rbwm.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2021-
there are currently National Air Quality Objectives.Actively identify further sites where Particulates <10 um and <2.5 um should be measured.Measure how RBWM complies with NICE Quality Standards QS181 and NG70, and DEFRA Air Quality Guidance.Sources of Information/EvidenceSame as above.Resource & budget requirements; • specialist staff • any external supportIf work kept within scope, no additional resource or budget requirements required.Corporate Risks associated with this Review? Identify any weaknesses and barriers to successThis is not in the corporate risk register. However, risks associated to contracts of this nature would have identified by council.Reputation/impacts on residents, which may not be reversible.If this is not delivered there is a risk of a shortfall in affordable housing and thriving communities, both outlined in the Corporate Plan.Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?Head of Service CabinetWhat is the Review Timescale? •Add to work programme of Communities O & S		Actively identify best practices from other Local
<10 um and <2.5 um should be measured.Measure how RBWM complies with NICE Quality Standards QS181 and NG70, and DEFRA Air Quality Guidance.Sources of Information/EvidenceResource & budget requirements; • specialist staff • any external support • site visits • consultation • researchCorporate Risks associated with this Review? Identify any weaknesses and barriers to successIdentify any weaknesses and barriers to successWho will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?What is the Review Timescale? •What is the Review Timescale? •Value to work programme of Communities O & S		there are currently National Air Quality
Quality Standards QS181 and NG70, and DEFRA Air Quality Guidance.Sources of Information/EvidenceResearch whether Developer Impact Reports are sufficiently rigorous.Sources & budget requirements; • specialist staff • any external support • site visits • consultation • researchIf work kept within scope, no additional resource or budget requirements required.Corporate Risks associated with this Review? Identify any weaknesses and barriers to successThis is not in the corporate risk register. However, risks associated to contracts of this nature would have identified by council.Reputation/impacts on residents and visitors of the borough, with works not being carried out.Health and wellbeing impacts on residents, which may not be reversible.Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?Head of ServiceWhat is the Review Timescale? •Add to work programme of Communities O & S		
Sources of Information/EvidenceSame as above.Resource & budget requirements; • specialist staff • any external support • site visits • consultation • researchIf work kept within scope, no additional resource or budget requirements required.Corporate Risks associated with this Review? Identify any weaknesses and barriers to successThis is not in the corporate risk register. However, risks associated to contracts of this nature would have identified by council.Reputation/impacts on residents and visitors of the borough, with works not being carried out.Health and wellbeing impacts on residents, which may not be reversible.Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?If this is not delivered there is a risk of a shortfall in affordable housing and thriving communities, both outlined in the Corporate Plan.What is the Review Timescale? •Add to work programme of Communities O & S		Quality Standards QS181 and NG70, and
Resource & budget requirements; • specialist staff • any external support • site visits • consultation • researchIf work kept within scope, no additional resource or budget requirements required.Corporate Risks associated with this Review? Identify any weaknesses and barriers to successThis is not in the corporate risk register. However, risks associated to contracts of this nature would have identified by council.Reputation/impacts on residents and visitors of the borough, with works not being carried out.Health and wellbeing impacts on residents, which may not be reversible.Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?Head of Service CabinetWhat is the Review Timescale? •Add to work programme of Communities O & S		are sufficiently rigorous.
<ul> <li>specialist staff • any external support</li> <li>site visits • consultation • research</li> <li>Corporate Risks         <ul> <li>associated with this Review?</li> <li>Identify any weaknesses and barriers to success</li> <li>This is not in the corporate risk register.</li> <li>However, risks associated to contracts of this nature would have identified by council.</li> <li>Reputation/impacts on residents and visitors of the borough, with works not being carried out.</li> <li>Health and wellbeing impacts on residents, which may not be reversible.</li> <li>If this is not delivered there is a risk of a shortfall in affordable housing and thriving communities, both outlined in the Corporate Plan.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?</li> <li>What is the Review Timescale? •</li> <li>Add to work programme of Communities O &amp; S</li> </ul>		
Corporate Risks associated with this Review? Identify any weaknesses and barriers to successThis is not in the corporate risk register. However, risks associated to contracts of this nature would have identified by council.Reputation/impacts on residents and visitors of the borough, with works not being carried out.Reputation/impacts on residents and visitors of the borough, with works not being carried out.Health and wellbeing impacts on residents, which may not be reversible.If this is not delivered there is a risk of a shortfall in affordable housing and thriving communities, both outlined in the Corporate Plan.Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?Head of Service CabinetWhat is the Review Timescale? •Add to work programme of Communities O & S	• specialist staff • any external support	
associated with this Review? Identify any weaknesses and barriers to successHowever, risks associated to contracts of this nature would have identified by council.Reputation/impacts on residents and visitors of the borough, with works not being carried out.Reputation/impacts on residents and visitors of the borough, with works not being carried out.Health and wellbeing impacts on residents, which may not be reversible.Health and wellbeing impacts on residents, which may not be reversible.Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?Head of Service CabinetWhat is the Review Timescale? •Add to work programme of Communities O & S		This is not in the components vials register
Identify any weaknesses and barriers to successnature would have identified by council.Reputation/impacts on residents and visitors of the borough, with works not being carried out.Reputation/impacts on residents and visitors of the borough, with works not being carried out.Health and wellbeing impacts on residents, which may not be reversible.If this is not delivered there is a risk of a shortfall in affordable housing and thriving communities, both outlined in the Corporate Plan.Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?Head of Service CabinetWhat is the Review Timescale? •Add to work programme of Communities O & S	•	
Reputation/impacts on residents and visitors of the borough, with works not being carried out.Health and wellbeing impacts on residents, which may not be reversible.If this is not delivered there is a risk of a shortfall in affordable housing and thriving communities, both outlined in the Corporate Plan.Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?Head of ServiceWhat is the Review Timescale? •Add to work programme of Communities O & S	Identify any weaknesses and	
which may not be reversible.If this is not delivered there is a risk of a shortfall in affordable housing and thriving communities, both outlined in the Corporate Plan.Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?Head of ServiceWhat is the Review Timescale? •Add to work programme of Communities O & S		
in affordable housing and thriving communities, both outlined in the Corporate Plan.Who will receive the review conclusions and any resultant recommendations?Head of ServiceCabinetCabinetWhat is the Review Timescale? •Add to work programme of Communities O & S		
conclusions and any resultant recommendations?CabinetWhat is the Review Timescale? •Add to work programme of Communities O & S		in affordable housing and thriving communities,
recommendations?         Cabinet           What is the Review Timescale? •         Add to work programme of Communities O & S		Head of Service
What is the Review Timescale? •         Add to work programme of Communities O & S	-	Cabinet
Identify key meeting dates and any Meeting to discuss all aspects listed above. deadlines for reports,	What is the Review Timescale? • Identify key meeting dates and any	
recommendations or decisions. Update the Panel on progress with the performance every six months for perpetuity.	•	

Lead Member/Officer	Andrew Durrant,
Identify a nominated: - Elected Member - Lead Officer	Councillor Donna Stimson
How could a review be publicised?	Comms to publicise in normal ways through social media channels, resident's newsletter, parish council newsletter. Direct contact with
Do we need to publicise the review to	local environmental groups
encourage community involvement? •	
What sort of media coverage do we want? (e.g. Flyers, leaflets, radio	
broadcast, press release, etc.)	
Completed by/ Date:	Shilpa Manek and Andrew Durrant
Approved by Scrutiny Panel / Date:	Communities Overview and Scrutiny Panel